

MODULAR STRUCTURES FACILITATING FIELD-CUSTOMIZED FLOOR CONTROLLERS

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10

This present invention relates generally to musical instruments, and in particular to the design, application, and use of modular structures in creating customized and aggregated musical instruments. Currently, customization of musical instruments has been a specialized, limited, and expensive affair, and the formation of particular aggregations of musical instruments into a common “aggregated” musical instrument has not yet been perfected.

15

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

20

An assortment of field-customizable, mainstream and exotic electronic musical instruments will be presented, with a particular focus on providing extensive support for the easy and robust creation of a broad range of aggregated instruments. Some embodiments provide extensive functional customization of instruments within the mainstream accepted instrument modalities, as well as opening a wide range of completely new instrument modalities. The invention further facilitates entirely new manufacturing, marketing, and sales paradigms permitting a broad range of open industry development and commerce, thus making an individual musician’s creation of new exotic instrument arrangements an economically viable sector for both mass manufacturing and the niche cottage industry. New opportunities are provided for the creation of multiple-vendor standardizations, multiple-

25

vendor manufacturing, multiple-vendor competitive features. This will provide the music equipment user and music industry as a whole, access to an extensive range of instrument customization, diversification, and education.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The above and other aspects, features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent upon consideration of the following description of preferred embodiments taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawing figures, wherein:

Figures 1a-1c depict the relationship among traditional instruments, aggregated instruments, customization, hierarchies of modularity, and applications as they relate to the invention;

Figures 2a-2b show two exemplary aggregated instruments;

Figures 3a-3e depict a number of supporting and playing arrangements for aggregated instruments including the use of floor stands, straps and open access areas;

Figures 4a-4c depict two exemplary rotating arrangements for securing instrument modules;

Figures 5a-f show exemplary module fastening approaches for securing instrument modules (and additional related modules, such as signal processing or sound production modules) to an aggregation frame;

Figures 6a-6b depict an illustrative lightweight supporting frame facilitating a staggered arrangement with an exemplary profile;

Figures 7a-7g illustrate the structure and application of a rotating mounting arrangement for use in a wide range of aggregate instrument configurations;

Figure 8 depicts an exemplary audio and control signal routing environment of an illustrative aggregate instrument of moderate complexity;

Figures 9a-9e show a more general arrangement for the handling of audio and control signals within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module);

Figures 10a-10b illustrate possible techniques for incorporating various types of sound production modules into an instrument frame;

Figure 11 depicts some basic aspects of stringed instrument modules and associated sub-module configurations utilizing an exemplary guitar module;

Figures 12a-12c show a number of exemplary configurations where an array of tuners are configured within the confines of the frame boundary;

Figures 13a-13b depict an exemplary stringed instrument module;

Figures 14a-14i depict a number of exemplary playing-surface neck inserts for installation in the more generalized stringed instrument module shown in Figures 13a-13b;

Figure 15 shows an exemplary larger width harp or zither configuration employing a variety of sounding string lengths;

Figure 16 shows a windowed hierarchical frame configured to externally match a larger size instrument module format and internally match a smaller sized module format with open mounting areas or volumes designed to hold one or more smaller format modules;

Figure 17 illustrates how one-octave keyboard modules may be used to create a larger contiguous multi-octave keyboard;

Figures 18a-18c illustrate how hierarchical frames allow for wide ranges of additional customization for the musician's performing, recording, or composing needs for a hand-operated instrument;

Figures 19a-19j depict a number of examples of purely electronic instrument aggregations (i.e., only comprising electronic instrument modules) flexibly facilitated by the invention;

Figures 20a-20b depict exemplary applications of the invention to the implementation of key functional aspects of two stringed instruments of Harry Partch (the “Harmonic Cannon” and “Kithara”);

Figures 21a-21b depict further exemplary applications of the invention to the implementation of key functional aspects of the “Boo” percussion instrument of Harry Partch;

Figure 22a-22d illustrate exemplary modules useful in demonstrating the principles of the invention as applied to floor controllers;

Figures 23a-23c illustrate an evolving heterogeneous aggregation of the floor controller modules of Figures 22a-22d, and specifically how hierarchical frames allow wide ranges of additional customization around a musician’s performing, recording, or composing floor controller needs; and

Figures 24a-24b depict an initially homogenous single-level aggregation of the floor controller modules evolving into a heterogeneous two-level aggregation of the floor controller modules.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the following descriptions, reference is made to the accompanying drawing figures which form a part hereof, and which show by way of illustration specific embodiments of the invention. It will be understood by those of ordinary skill in this

technological field that other embodiments may be utilized, and structural, electrical, as well as procedural changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention.

Furthermore, in the figures, it is to be understood that a significant emphasis has been placed on depicting functionality, structure, and methods regarding many aspects of the invention. In choosing this emphasis, little treatment of aesthetics and visual appeal has been included. It is to be understood that a plethora of additional techniques of encasement, overlay bezel, alternate structure, ornamental embellishment, etc. may be used to obtain a wide range of aesthetic value and effect.

1. Formalized Modularity, Aggregation, and Customization Structures for Electric and Electronic Musical Instruments

Over the years, musical instruments have evolved in a number of isolated and interacting ways. Although very complex and subject to rigorous debate, in broad terms a particular kind of instrument, such as a violin, keyboard, flute, reed, brass, drum, etc., would evolve within a conceptual and contextual framework defining that instrument or variations of it. For example, a harpsichord, virginal, bentside, clavichord, etc. versus the group of pipe organ, portative organ, etc., versus the group of fortepiano, pianoforte, etc., versus the group of celeste, carillon, etc. In some instances, one type of instrument would borrow technology developments and enhancements perfected within another, but essentially key defining elements comprising the 'canon' or formal 'institution' of a specific instrument would largely remain invariant over time. As presented herein, these types of instruments will be referred to as "traditional instruments."

Every so often a new instrument, perhaps an entirely new type of instrument, would be introduced and over time itself become considered a traditional instrument. Similarly, some established traditional instruments may fall out of favor or be replaced, eventually becoming ‘period instruments,’ such as the recorder or rebec, ‘ancient instruments,’ such as the Greek Lyre or Chinese Bone Flutes, or in fact ‘lost instruments,’ such as the “lira da braccio” used by Italian court poet-musicians in the Renaissance. Referring to Figure 1a, element 110 provides a representation of this process that will serve as a basis for subsequent discussion.

In the case of traditional instruments, variations on the same instrument have sometimes been combined to create a larger “aggregate” instrument. Long-standing examples are the multiple keyboards found in harpsichords and organs, and later, the trap drum set. More recent examples are the multi-necked guitars such as the classic ESD 1275 Gibson double neck (first available in 1958, Gibson Guitar Corporation, Nashville, Tennessee) or the more contemporary Roberts Rotoneck guitar (see for example U.S. Patents 4,981,063 and D311,750 by Roberts – more recent versions include the Roto-CasterTM which secures the rotating neck on one end to a traditional guitar body; Roberts Rotoneck, Brea, California). In some cases the component instruments within an aggregate instrument share some of the same internal components (for example, multiple keyboards of harpsichords and organs may share the same instrument housing and “stops”) and in other cases effectively do so in a very limited manner (for example, shared supporting arrangements in trap drums and multi-necked guitars). Additionally, some of the component instruments are specifically laid out to permit playing of two or more of the components simultaneously (for example, harpsichords,

organs, and trap drums) while others (such as multi-necked guitars) are not (at least in original intent).

Referring to Figure 1a, element **120** represents the class of fixed aggregate instruments and related processes. There are new forms of instruments **122**, here driven by synergies **123** among component instruments of the aggregations; for example, new stops or mechanisms shared within a pipe organ, or new percussion elements or mechanisms (such as foot pedals) within the trap drum set. Successful synergies will give rise to new forms in the recurring cycle **124** as shown. Due to manufacturing practices and market forces, however, many if not most of the possibilities illustrated by these exemplary instruments may have limited markets and high cost, and may require difficult decisions as to which functional elements are selected and how to physically position them.

The present invention addresses these issues by targeting, for example, the creation of an open evolvable family and architecture of modular instrument components. Each such module may, for example, be a functionally self-contained instrument, controller, signal processor, interface, sound production module, or novelty module. Various types of mounting frames can be provided for facilitating the physical aggregation of these modules. The mounting frames can further be enhanced to provide additional supporting infrastructure for signal routing, power distribution, control distribution, interface consolidation, etc. Each of the modules may utilize one or more predefined signal, control, and power interfaces. The family of modular instrument components and mounting frames can be designed for simple consumer manipulation, allowing aggregate instruments and controllers to be easily assembled and reconfigured by end users. Referring still to Figure 1a, element **130** abstracts this class of instruments and related processes.

With these ideas established, the above notion of ‘aggregations’ 120, 130 may then be adapted to extend the applicability of this group of ideas. Referring to Figure 1b, traditional instruments may be thought of as providing base-points of a ‘core modularity’ 142 that may be used to create aggregated instruments 141, and the constituent parts of these traditional instruments (such as necks, vibration-sensing transducers, controller, signal processing, interface, or sound production units) may be regarded as component modules which provide a level of sub-modularity 143.

The creation of traditional instruments from modularized components, i.e. ‘customization’ 144, has been informally with us in the form of a few coexisting *de facto* standards (for example, modularized components such as guitar pickups, bridges, tuning heads, tail pieces) for some time but has nearly universally required the expertise of specialists.

Leveraging, differentiating, abstracting, and reorganizing these ideas and observations, the invention provides for, among other things, for some or all of the following aspects:

1. Modular sub-components providing a layer of *sub-modularity* 143;
2. Modular components providing a layer of *core modularity* 142, which may be built from the sub-components within the *sub-modularity* layer 143 or, alternatively, may be stand-alone entities; and
3. Aggregations 141 of modular components 142.

Aspects 1 and 2 together lead to musical instruments that can easily be customized, creating entirely new forms of value to the user and entirely new manufacturing, sales, and

marketing opportunities. The market segment and principle user value of these aspects is rooted within familiar traditional musical instruments, driven by motivations of largely taste-defined personalization.

Aspects 2 and 3 together enable users to easily create aggregate instruments with an extensive degree of customization capability. This creates yet other entirely new form of user value and new manufacturing, sales, and marketing opportunities. The market segment and value to the user of these aspects lies in aggregating familiar traditional musical instruments to create new and exciting aggregations of functionality with rich cooperative or synergistic possibilities.

It is noted that this exemplary three-layer model depicted in Figure 1b can be expanded in either or both directions of sub-modularizing and aggregation. To date the “fixed” type of aggregated instruments **120** have been a limited and specialized, low-volume segment of the marketplace. Some modular multiple neck instruments have been proposed over the years (for example, attachable/detachable second-neck retrofit units shown in U.S. Patents 4,240,319 and 5,315,910 by Soupious, and the modular multiple neck instruments shown in U.S. Patent 3,130,625 by Savona and U.S. Patent 4,785,705 by Patterson). Similarly, modular replaceable pickups (see for example U.S. Patent 6,043,422 by Chapman, Modular Electric Guitars, Mounds View, MN; Mercurio Guitars Inc., Chanhassen, MN; and Rick Dodge Convertible Guitars, Tallahassee, FL) and other components (see for example U.S. Patent 4,201,108 by Bunker) have been available for some time, but are also a niche market of tiny scale. Why would it be desirable for the music manufacturing and sales industries to embrace the modular aggregated instruments **130, 141** discussed abstractly so far? To answer, a few illustrative examples are considered.

Setting Figure 1c aside for the moment, Figures 2a-2b show two exemplary aggregated instruments. These examples are somewhat larger in scope for discussion purposes, illustrating perhaps an approximate natural expansion limits of the depicted configurations. Figure 2a depicts a shoulder-worn instrument **200** which emphasizes electronic stringed component modules **211-214** and purely electronic controllers **215-217** (including keyboards **215, 217** of various sizes), all held in an effectively planar configuration by lightweight securing frame elements **201a, 201b**. Such a configuration may support intricate details required of one or more performance pieces, or be advantageous in a compositional environment. Two fretted guitar-like modules **212, 214** are provided. These may be identical, or differ in the types of strings or pickups used, the number of strings used, the inter-string spacing used, or in the inclusion of various specialty aspects such as, for example, different types of frets (guitar, sitar, pipa, etc.), different types of bridges (fixed guitar bridge, vibrato guitar bridge, modulated string tension guitar bridge, sitar bridge, piezo pickup bridge, etc.), or other differentiating aspects.

Open unfretted stringed module **211** may be a modest group of bass strings, as used in an archlute or Gibson "Harp GuitarTM", a bank of sympathetic strings, an adapted harp, etc. They are positioned here to be played with the thumb while playing fretted instrument module **212**, but could also by intent or circumstance be plucked in isolation. Similarly, open unfretted stringed module **213** may comprise a larger number of bass strings, a bank of sympathetic strings, an adapted harp, etc., positioned here to be played with the thumb while playing fretted instrument module **214**, but could also by intent or circumstance be plucked in isolation. A small-format keyboard **215** may be used as a "proximate keyboard" as described in U.S. Patent 6,570,078, and is here shown supplemented with an additional

electronic controller **216**. An additional electronic controller **216** is depicted here comprising sliders (controlling perhaps volume and timbre) and fingertip-actuated impact sensors for responsively triggering electronic percussion modules, but could additionally or alternatively comprise one or more strumpads, touchpads, switches, buttons, etc. as described in U.S.

5 Patent 6,570,078, for example. A full-sized keyboard **217** could be used for conventional keyboard playing and soloing with one or both hands.

Either or both fretted stringed instrument modules **212**, **214** could be played with one hand (using one-handed tapping techniques), perhaps facilitated by either or both of **212**, **214** being instrument modules of a touch variety (such as that described in U.S. Patent 2,989,884
10 by Bunker, and U.S. Patent 4,142,436 by Chapman, and other touch-style stringed instruments, typically with damped open strings). It is also noted that open stringed instrument modules **211**, **213** can readily be played with one hand. The aggregate instrument **200** may be readily configured to support playing modules **211** and **212** simultaneously with one hand, perhaps also including some or all of **213**; similarly modules **213** and **214** may be
15 played simultaneously with one hand, perhaps also including **215** and perhaps **216**; similarly modules **214** and **215** may be played simultaneously with one hand, perhaps also including **216**; and similarly modules **215** and **216** may be played simultaneously with one hand. Also note the exemplary arrangement **200** also includes gaps **221**, **222** for traditional under-neck hand access to fretted necks of fretted stringed instrument modules **212**, **214**, respectively.

20 Figure 2b depicts another layout format for an aggregate instrument emphasizing electronic keyboards **261**, **262** and other electronic controllers **271-276** but also including an electronic stringed component module **263** that may be played by extending the arms – the latter may be, for example, of a touch variety (such as that disclosed in U.S. Patent 2,989,884

by Bunker, or U.S. Patent 4,142,436 by Chapman, or other touch-style stringed instruments, typically with damped open strings), an unfretted adapted harp, a non-uniformly fretted dulcimer format, etc.

This arrangement comprises a lightweight supporting frame facilitating a staggered arrangement with an exemplary profile such as that shown in Figure 6. The resulting arrangement may be played in an essentially-horizontal position as suggested by Figure 3a, here involving an essentially-horizontal-supporting floor stand, or in an essentially-vertical position as suggested by Figure 3b supported by a flexible shoulder strap, or as shown by Figure 3d supported by an essentially-vertical supporting floor stand. The keyboards 261, 262 may be collocated in a "proximate keyboard" arrangement (examples of which are disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,570,078) or with conventional forms of two-keyboard separation. The various electronic controllers 271-276 may include various smaller sized sub-modules, each comprising, for example, one or more sliders, fingertip-actuated impact sensors, strumpads, touchpads, switches, buttons, etc. as illustrated in U.S. Patent 6,570,078. The invention further provides for these exemplary smaller-sized sub-modules to indeed not be purely electronic but include vibrating elements such as small string arrays, mbira tynes, etc., examples of which are also shown in U.S. Patent 6,570,078.

An additional example that combines various functional and ergonomic aspects of the previous two examples is the shoulder strap-supported configuration generally depicted by Figure 3c. Here, a fretted instrument module 341 is shown with traditional under-neck access made possible by an open gap 342. At the bottom of the arrangement is an area 343 naturally suited for one or more keyboards as it is readily and naturally reachable by a comfortably extended arm 345. The region 344, opposing the gap 342, may be a blank area or comprise

any number of smaller modules as described above. Alternatively, the configuration may be essentially-vertically supported without the flexible shoulder strap used in Figure 3c by an essentially-vertical supporting floor stand, as shown in Figure 3e.

It is further noted that the invention provides for any of the configurations shown in Figure 2a and Figures 3a – 3e to be such that the mounting frames secure the instrument modules in a coplanar configuration, in a staircase configuration, or perhaps in a curved configuration. For example, the essentially coplanar arrangement depicted frontally in Figure 2a could also be mounted on a staircase mounting frame, as depicted in Figure 6, or on a curved frame of some sort; the resulting arrangement could then be worn with a flexible shoulder strap, as depicted in Figure 3c, set on a seated musician's leg, or vertically supported by a floor stand as in Figure 3e. The staircase or curved mounting configuration could make those instrument modules farther from the musician's eyes advantageously easier to see or easier to play in specific ergonomic contexts. Similarly a staircase keyboard-based configuration, such as those depicted in Figure 2b or Figure 19, could be worn with a flexible shoulder strap as shown in Figure 3c. Further, an aggregation of controller modules that are functionally equivalent to control panels may usefully be mounted in a coplanar, curved, or staircase configuration, creating a larger control panel that could be operated in any of the configurations of Figures 3a – 3e.

One last illustrative example for this part of the discussion is a rotating type of mounting arrangement for the instrument modules, which is similar in some respects to the Roberts Rotoneck guitar neck configuration (see for example U.S. Patents 4,981,063 and D311,750). Referring to Figures 4a-4c, a polygonal cross-section mounting apparatus (for example, the square cross-section configuration 412 or triangular cross-section configuration

451) can provide a number of mounting surfaces for the various varieties of modules described earlier. As with the Rotoneck guitar neck configuration, the polygonal cross-section mounting apparatus can be mounted on a guitar body or other securing arrangement and readily rotated (on a transverse cylindrical axis) as desired by the player. Depending on the specific choices of polygonal cross-section and choice of modules, rich opportunities also exist here for two or more modules to be played simultaneously.

The various configurations described illustrate a number of concepts. Clearly these functionalities are of value in performance situations, but there are other venues for value as well. In composing, the ability to have flexible simultaneous access to multiple types of instruments and controllers allows for broad new areas of compositional trial and experimentation. One or more default configurations may be used as a compositional mainstay, and special aggregation configurations may be created as needed for unusual or new instrumentation situations. When learning about music theory, applying specific instrument techniques, working with timbre alternatives, etc. aggregated instruments offer a rich interactive and staged approach for exploration and comparative analysis. Figure 1c, then, rounds out this conceptual overview of the invention by illustrating the interacting value among performance, composition, and music education. Further, with attractive physical design, the value of aggregated instruments could be further enhanced by the sheer visual appeal – performances attract more excitement and interest, student curiosity is piqued, and composing creativity can be inspired.

– In addition to the visible and functional aspects described above, the invention provides for interface modules for getting signals to and from the aggregate instrument, and in some cases power to the instrument. Further, the invention provides for on-board modules

of various types and implementations for signal switching, signal mixing, signal processing, and sound production, as well as various types of novelty modules (lighting, special effects, video cameras, visual display, computer interface, etc.).

Overall then, at a high comprehensive level, the invention provides for arrangements and configurations of modular and aggregated instruments comprising the following broadly classified types of constituent elements:

- Aggregation frame infrastructure (mechanical, signal routing, power routing if any);
- Interface, switching, mixing, signal processing, and sound production modules;
- Instrument modules;
- Instrument sub-modules; and
- Novelty modules (lighting, special effects, video cameras, visual display, computer interface, etc.).

The remainder of the specification is organized as follows. First various types of exemplary aggregation frames will be described, including mechanical aspects, signal routing, and power routing provisions. Each such aggregation frame allows for the interchangeable incorporation of a variety of instrument modules. In many cases it may be advantageous to support a variety of instrument module sizes. Next, a wide variety of exemplary instrument modules will be described. In many cases it may also be advantageous for at least some instrument modules to support interchangeable types of instrument sub-module species. A number of such exemplary instrument sub-modules are also described.

Then some illustrative exemplary novelty modules are discussed. Based on the preceding frame, module, and sub-module descriptions, a number of illustrative exemplary configurations are then provided. It is then shown how some aspects of the invention are readily extended to other forms of music technology and instrument formats, using as an example a modular floor controller. Finally, the interlaced matters of standardization, multi-vendor manufacturing opportunities, and instrument/market evolution are briefly considered.

2. Instrument Aggregation Frames and their Infrastructure

Although exemplary instrument modules have not yet been discussed in detail, the introductory discussion and associated figures provide enough background to explain instrument aggregation frames and related infrastructure which may be provided to hosted instrument modules.

In general, the instrument aggregation frames and their infrastructure may comprise the following:

- Mechanical mounting – exemplary mounting formats include:
 - Planar (for example, as in Figure 2a)
 - Curved
 - Staircase (for example, as in Figure 2b)
 - Rotating (for example, as in Figures 7a-7g)
- Signal routing, shielding, and signal grounding (harness/bus) – exemplary signal types include:
 - Audio
 - Control
 - Video

- Power routing and protective grounding – exemplary powering classes include:

- Low power (for simpler signal processing, controllers, etc.)
- Moderate power (for more power consuming signal processing, lighting, video, power amplifiers, electro-mechanical devices, etc.).

2.1 Mechanical Mounting

In some embodiments, the invention provides for a wide range of mechanical types and implementations of the aggregation frame. Only a few exemplary approaches are provided here, but the invention provides for additional implementations deriving from or alternative to these as one skilled in the art would appreciate.

Figure 5 shows some exemplary module fastening approaches for securing instrument modules (and additional related modules) to the aggregation frame. Figure 5a illustrates an exemplary mounting strap 500 comprising a load-bearing layer 501 and a vibration-isolating and/or protective-material layer 502, both penetrated by a fastener hole 503. In this example, the mounting strap load-bearing layer 501 may be made from a rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible material, preferably of light weight with sufficient strength and durability, while layer 502 may be made of a material like rubber, foam, etc. In this example, layer 502 may be secured to the mounting strap load-bearing layer 501 with an adhesive or fastener, or alternatively may be unattached to the load-bearing layer 501. In another implementation, a suitable material may be used to perform both functions simultaneously and thus replace both 501 and 502 with a single common entity. The fastener hole 503 may be threaded or unthreaded as may be useful in various configurations and implementations. Alternatively, other

fastening arrangements may be employed in this role which may involve arrangements that do not involve a fastener hole **503**.

Next, Figure 5b provides an example of how mounting straps **500a**, **500b** may be used in conjunction with a number of fasteners **504** linking the mounting straps through fastener holes **503**. This configuration forms a simple planar aggregation frame for securing a plurality of instrument modules. In this exemplary arrangement, instrument modules of uniform thickness may be secured within gaps **505** between the fastener holes **503**.

Figures 5c and 5d show variations where the function of one of the mounting straps **500a**, **500b** is replaced by individual mounting plate segments **510** or **520**, each sized to separately secure an individual instrument module. These arrangements are typically far more practical as each instrument module may be separately installed or swapped without disturbing the mounting of other instrument modules. The arrangement of Figure 5c uses a single fastener **504** to secure each individual mounting plate segments **510**, and as a result, would likely require each instrument module so secured to comprise a hole or slot for the fastener **510** to travel through. The arrangement of Figure 5d uses the double fastener arrangement **504a**, **504b** to secure each individual mounting plate segment **520**. In this arrangement, instrument modules so secured would not require holes or notching if the distance between fastener holes of each individual mounting plate segment **520** is sufficiently large.

Figure 5e shows several single-fastener mounting plate segments **510** attached to a common mounting plate; here the mounting strap **500** is flat, and adjacent mounting plate segments **510** abut one another. The abutment may be a simple alignment of adjacent edges, or may include securing embellishments such as tongue-and-groove, complementary

notching, etc. Similarly, as shown by Figure 5f, several double-fastener mounting plate segments **520** may be attached to a common mounting plate; here the mounting strap **500** is flat, and adjacent mounting plate segments **520** abut one another in various ways.

It is understood that adjacent mounting plate segments **510** and **520** in Figures 5e and 5f may be separated by a gap, and the gap may complement protrusions from the mounting straps. Further, the mounting straps **500**, **500a**, **500b** may be flat, as suggested in Figure 5e, or curved. Any of the individual mounting plate segment arrangements of Figures 5c and 5d may be applied to staircase forms of mounting frames such as the examples depicted in Figure 2b and Figure 6, as well as the rotating arrangements of Figures 4a-4c.

Figures 6a-6b are a more detailed view of staircase configuration of a mounting frame. More specifically, Figure 6a shows an exemplary staircase arrangement featuring two staircase frames **600** providing four mounting areas **601**, **602**, **603**, **604**, shown in dotted lines, for instrument or controller modules. Such a frame, its equivalents, or alternatives may be used to create the staircase module instrument assembly shown in Figure 2b. In this example, individual double-fastener mounting plates **520** are used along with fasteners **504a**, **504b** to secure into the staircase frame **600**. The fastener holes in staircase frame **600** may be threaded or contain some other mating fastener arrangement (such as a twist-lock). Further, another embodiment may omit the use of individual mounting plates **510**, **520**, instead securing the instrument modules directly to the mounting frame.

Some exemplary rotating mounting arrangements will now be considered. In some situations it is desirable for the rotation mounting arrangements to accept instrument modules – thus acting as an aggregate instrument mounting frame – and in other situations it is desirable for the rotating mounting arrangements to themselves serve as a module within a

standardized aggregate instrument mounting frame. In some cases, it may be desirable for a rotation mounting arrangement to serve both of these roles. In these cases, it would be highly advantageous if the instrument modules, the rotating mounting arrangements, and the aggregate instrument mounting frames all work within a standardized size format so that a given instrument module could fit in either the rotating mounting arrangement or an aggregate instrument mounting frame that could also simultaneously hold the rotating mounting arrangement. The invention provides for this as well, and an example systems-level strategy will be provided.

Returning to Figures 4a-4c, two exemplary rotating mounting arrangements for securing instrument modules are shown. In Figure 4a, a rectangular cross-section rotating mounting arrangement **401** is shown with surfaces **411, 412, 413, 414** and a fitting **402** for accepting a rotating load-bearing axle. Figure 4b shows instrument modules **421, 422, 423, 424** attached respectively to the surfaces **411, 412, 413, 414** of the rectangular cross-section rotating mounting arrangement **401**. This attachment may be made with individual mounting plate segments **510** or **520**, or with other arrangements. Figure 4c illustrates another rotating mounting arrangement **451** with surfaces **461, 462, 463**, and fitting **452** for accepting a rotating load-bearing axle; instrument modules **471, 472, 473**, shown in dotted lines, attached respectively to surfaces **461, 462, 463**. Rotating mounting arrangements of other cross-sections may also be implemented. In these examples, the rotating mounting arrangements serve as aggregate instrument mounting frames for a plurality of instrument modules as discussed above.

Note that the mounting arrangements depicted in Figures 4b and 4c show notches formed by the edges – for example an approximate 90° notch between the edges of

instrument modules **421** and **422** and an approximate 120° wedge between the edges of instrument modules **471** and **472**. Such edges can be reduced or essentially eliminated by providing cavities in the rotating mounting arrangement **401** or **451** in which instrument modules **421**, **422**, **423**, **424** and **471**, **472**, **473**, respectively, may be recessed. The rear mounting surfaces of the instrument modules, then, would typically have a compatible shape for their stable mechanical mating or securing within the cavity. In some cases, the use of such cavities, arched or stair-step in cross-section, may be helpful in stabilizing and securing the instrument modules in any aggregating frame type (coplanar, staircase, curved, or rotating).

It is also possible to secure a rotating mounting arrangement similar to that depicted in Figures 4a-4c into another type of mounting frame. For example, Figure 7a shows a representative rotating mounting arrangement **401** with a rotating axle **700** that permits partial or full rotation **710** when fitted into the rotational fitting **402** of Figure 4a. In general, the rotating axle **700** is at least partially enveloped by an end-supporting member **701** comprising some form of rotation bearing. The rotation bearing may be such that the rotating axle **700** is rigidly separated from the rotating mounting arrangement **401**, maintaining a gap **702**. If desired, the separation gap **702** may be filled with a rotation-facilitating gasket, spring, bearing, or other device. Additionally, the axle **700** and/or rotation bearing may be configured with a protruding structure **703** emergent from the far end of the end-supporting member **701**, or may terminate effectively at the edge of the far end of the end-supporting member **701** with a flush structure **704** as shown in Figure 7b.

Figure 7c shows a more comprehensive view of rotating mounting arrangement **401**, including a complementary pair of end-supporting members **701a**, **701b**, each configured to

be supported in a mounting frame (for example such as those depicted in Figures 5f and 6b), resulting in the composite mountable structure **760**. In these examples, the rotating mounting arrangements serve as instrument modules which can themselves be secured in an aggregate instrument mounting frame as discussed earlier.

5 It is noted that various systems-level mechanical design strategies may be devised to allow various instrument modules to be interchangeably mounted directly into mounting frames (such as those depicted in Figures 5f and 6b), or first into a rotating mounting arrangement such as **760** which itself may be mounted into mounting frames (such as those depicted in Figures 5f and 6b).

10 Figure 7d is an example of such a systems-level mechanical design strategy. This figure provides an example of how an instrument module or related structure may be standardized with an isolated profile **750** which can either be mounted onto a rotating mounting arrangement such as **401** (or equivalently **451**) within a composite mountable structure **760**. In the exemplary systems-level mechanical design strategy, the instrument
15 module or related structure may alternatively be supplemented with attachable mounting structures **751a**, **751b** to form an elongated module **770** of standardized profile matching that of the composite rotating supporting structure **760**. In a nested standardization, a given instrument module or related structure **750** may be mounted in a fixed position structure **770** or a rotating structure **760**, and instances of each may be interchangeably or simultaneously
20 mounted in a common frame **201a**, **201b**.

With the various types of aggregate instrument mounting frames and related systems-level mechanical design strategies of equal, broader, or lesser scope, established, it is further noted that it is also possible to use the same instrument modules in other settings. Some

additional examples are disclosed in later figures (using standardized instrument modules as *ad hoc* components in constructing “home-made” functional replicas of Harry Parch instruments, illustrated in Figures 20a-20b and 21a-21b).

Figures 7e-7g show body 780 securing a single rotating mounting arrangement 401.

5 Within the rotating mounting arrangement 401 various instrument modules may be added.

Figure 7e depicts a version with various types of guitar-like instrument modules inserted.

These instrument modules may include various types of 6-string and 12-string metal string guitars and bass guitars, but may further readily include many additional types of specialty stringed instrument modules such as nylon-string guitar, sitar, banjo, oud, fretless bass, etc.

10 Figure 7f shows a configuration where at least one of the instrument modules comprises a collection of buttons or sensors 781 (which may be operated by the thumb, for example, while playing a guitar instrument module as well as other modes of operation). When operated by the thumb, sensors or buttons may have a repeated function that can be executed in any hand position needed while playing the guitar instrument module, or may be arranged to have differing interpretations related to the hand position needed while playing the guitar instrument module (for example, pitch or key related, or timbre-shifting roughly correlated to
15 fingering-determined string vibration length).

Figure 7g shows another configuration where one of the instrument modules is a multiple-octave miniature keyboard 791. It is noted that a readily-playable miniature
20 keyboard of the scale of 4 inches per octave of keys, similar to that used in the Realistic™ Concertmate-350 (Radio Shack Cat. No. 42-4008, Tandy Corporation, Fort Worth, Texas), is such that the length of a standard guitar neck would readily accommodate 4 to 6 octaves of keys. Other types of modules, such as sensor or control arrays of more arbitrary form than

that suggested by Figure 7f, such as light modules, fog generators, etc., could also be readily and interchangeably incorporated into this configuration.

2.2 Electrical and Signal Distribution Overview

The next two subsections discuss signal routing, shielding, grounding, and power distribution to the various types of infrastructure modules, instrument modules, instrument sub-modules, and novelty modules. The various types of modules may access signal routing, shielding, grounding, and power distribution through connectors. In many implementations, shielding, grounding, and power distribution may be largely implemented in a distribution bus fashion. At the connector point, localized isolation circuits may be provided to isolate electrical noise processes within the bus and within modules from one another.

Signal interconnections may be point-to-point among specific pairs of connectors, or may be implemented using multiple-access signal busses. The use of multiple-access signal busses is particularly natural for the distribution and exchange of control signals, but could be viewed as a significant new step over long standing traditions in intra-instrument audio signal handling. Due to the many configuration advantages and flexibilities afforded by the introduction of a digital audio signal bus (such as the natural I/O utility in conjunction with digital mixing and digital signal processing), along with the radically dropping prices of digital audio analog-to-digital converters (ADCs), among other factors, a digital audio signal distribution bus may be readily implemented. The audio signal bus and control signal bus could be a shared bus, and the bus technology may be either electrical or optical. The combination of optical busses and a digital audio signal bus could push noise floors within the instrument to very low levels.

2.3 Signal Routing, Signal Shielding, and Signal Grounding

The invention provides for a wide range of signal routing, signal shielding, and signal grounding types and implementations to be associated with the aggregation frame. Only a few exemplary approaches are provided herein, but the invention provides for additional implementations deriving from or alternative to these examples, as one skilled in the art will appreciate. Exemplary signal types include:

- Audio (sense transducer, processed, synthesized, drive transducer)
- Control
- Video
- Other types of signals (for example computer data signals).

Figure 8 shows a signal routing environment of moderate complexity. For the sake of illustration, the instrument interface **800** (to be considered later in more detail) is simplified into a boundary, and the signals carried by the exemplary instrument interface only includes incoming control **801**, outgoing control **802**, and outgoing (multiple-channel) audio **803**.

Incoming instrument control signals **801** are passed from the interface **800** (as “control in” signals **831**) to a multiple-destination control signal fan-out arrangement **811** which may also include within itself control processing. In smaller-scale instruments there may be no need for multiple-destination control signal fan-out but still a need for control signal processing in which case **811** serves only a control signal processing role. The control signal fan-out and/or processor **811** may be controlled by a control signal **836** which may originate from a controller on the aggregate instrument, or from the control signal merge and/or processor element **812**, described in more detail below.

Outgoing instrument control signals **802** are provided to the interface **800** (as “control out” signals **822**) from a multiple-source control signal merging element **812**, which may also include control processing. In smaller-scale aggregated instruments there may be no need for multiple-destination control signal fan-out, but still a need for control signal processing in which case **812** serves only a control signal processing role.

Outgoing instrument audio signals **803** are provided to the interface **800** (as “audio out” signals **863**) by an audio switching and/or mixing element **815**; this element may also potentially include audio signal processing.

In this moderate complexity example, the aggregate instrument also includes a control signal extraction element **814** which transforms attributes of provided audio signals **864** into derived control signals **834**. In this example, the derived control signal transformation process provided by the control signal extraction element **814** is itself controllable in some manner by transformation control signals **824**.

The aggregate instrument in this moderate complexity example also includes a vibrating element feedback excitation arrangement (using, for example, the techniques taught in U.S. Patent 6,610,917) comprising a feedback control and signal processing element **817** controlled by control signals **827** and producing one or more drive signals **867** responsive to sense signals **857** originated by vibration-sensing transducers. These sense signals **857** may be originated by one or more dedicated vibration-sensing transducers or may be originated from shared vibration-sensing transducers, either directly or indirectly from the audio switching and/or mixing element **815** as described above, or from another signal source. For example, the feedback control and signal processing element **817** may be part of a self-contained module that further comprises dedicated internal vibration-sensing transducers

(producing dedicated sense signals 857) and dedicated vibration-drive transducers (driven by dedicated drive signals 867). Alternatively, not only may the feedback control and signal processing element 817 obtain its sense signals 857 from elsewhere (such as audio outputs from the audio switching and/or mixing element 815), but the vibration-drive transducers may also be positioned at various locations within the instrument module, and also could serve (in another modality) as vibration-sensing transducers. These approaches enable, for example, the following demonstratively flexible configurations:

- The feedback control and signal processing element 817 may be shared across more than one instrument module.
- The feedback control and signal processing element 817 may be used in configurations involving vibration-sensing transducers of a first instrument module and vibration-drive transducers of a second instrument module. This could be used to induce sympathetic vibrations in the second instrument module. Further, if, for example, two feedback control and signal processing elements like that of 817 are configured for sharing within an aggregated instrument, a two-stage loop may be created (i.e., vibration-sensing transducers of a first instrument module may be processed by a first feedback control and signal processing element 817 to drive vibration-drive transducers of a second instrument module, while vibration-sensing transducers of the second instrument module may be processed by a second feedback control and signal processing element 817 to drive vibration-drive transducers of the first instrument module).

- A piezo bridge transducer or magnetic pickup separated from a bridge location may be configured for (mutually exclusive) use as a vibration-sense transducer or vibration-drive transducer.

It is noted that the audio switching and/or mixing element **815** may be controlled with incoming control signals **825** that may originate within the instrument and/or from the control fan-out and/or processor **811**. The control signal fan-out and/or processor **811** itself may be controlled by incoming control signals **801** originating outside the instrument and/or by other control signals **815** that may originate within the instrument. Similarly, control signals originated from within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module) may be directed to a control signal merge and/or processor **812** which creates at least an outgoing control signal **802** for the aggregate instrument.

The control signal merge and/or processor **812** may also serve as the immediate source for the incoming control signals **825** and **827**, and itself receive and be responsive to a control signal **826** provided by, for example, the control signal fan-out and/or processor **811** or other control signal source. It is noted that the instrument interface **800** may be implemented using known types of generalized instrument interfaces. Specific examples of suitable types of generalized instrument interfaces are described in U.S. Patent 6,570,078.

The invention also provides for the incorporation of interfaces for other types of signals, for example computer data signals employing interfaces such as RS-232, USB, VGA, Ethernet, FireWireTM, etc.; in general these interfaces may have a signal direction that is bi-directional (outgoing and incoming), incoming-only, or outgoing-only.

It is understood by one skilled in the art that the configuration depicted in Figure 8 is but one example of a suitable interface that may be implemented, and that a wide range of

additional configurations and signal types are possible. A more comprehensive range of implementations provided for by the invention is further elaborated in Figures 9a-9e. For example, Figure 9a shows a more general arrangement for the handling of audio signals within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module). Audio inputs **901** from various sources within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module), and possibly from the instrument interface (such as **800** in Figure 8), or other known types of generalized instrument interfaces, are provided to a interconnection fabric **902**. The interconnection fabric **902** may be a fixed configuration, comprising mechanical or electronic switching, or comprising a fixed or controllable mixing matrix. The interconnection fabric **902** further provides one or more audio outputs **905** which may be directed to the instrument signal interface (such as **800** in Figure 8 or other known types of generalized instrument interfaces), or elsewhere (such as drive transducers, internal amplifiers for self-contained sound production, etc.). The interconnection fabric **902** may further connect with various types of audio signal processing elements featuring one **903a** or more **903b** audio inputs and one or more audio outputs. The interconnection fabric **902** may further connect with a multiple-channel mixer **904**, particularly if the interconnection fabric **902** itself does not internally comprise a fixed or controllable mixing matrix.

Figure 9b illustrates a comparable general framework for the handling of controls signals within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module). Control signal inputs **951** from various sources within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module), and possibly from the instrument interface (such as **800** in Figure 8 or other known types of generalized instrument interfaces), are provided to an interconnection fabric **952**. The interconnection fabric **952** may be a fixed configuration, comprising mechanical or

electronic switching, or comprising a fixed or controllable control signal merging environment. The interconnection fabric 952 further provides one or more control signal outputs 955 which may be directed to the instrument signal interface (such as 800 in Figure 8 or other known types of generalized instrument interfaces), or elsewhere (such as internal light modules, self-contained sound amplification, etc.). The interconnection fabric 952 may further connect with various types of control signal processing elements featuring one 953a or more 953b audio inputs and one or more audio outputs. The interconnection fabric 952 may further connect with a multiple-channel mixer 904, particularly if the interconnection fabric 952 itself does not internally comprise a fixed or configurable control signal merging environment.

An aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module) may include additional novelty items useful in performance. Novelty items may include lighting, special effects, video cameras, visual display, computer interfaces, etc.. Of these, it is noted that a video camera can be used as a musical instrument or music system control interface, as in the examples described in U.S. Patent 6,570,078. For example, various types of image processing and recognition steps may be employed to derive control signals responsive to images or motions within the captured video signal. Thus an instrument module or sub-module may use video internally to create control signals, but video need not travel to or through other parts of the aggregate instrument or instrument module. In other arrangements, particularly if video is used for other purposes than creating or controlling musical sounds, video may indeed travel through other parts of the aggregate instrument or instrument module. Should the aggregate instrument employ video signals outside the context of an instrument module or sub-module, an embodiment of the invention may provide a video

signal infrastructure. Typically the video capabilities, if present, would be considerably simpler than that of the audio and control signal environments. However, as may be required or desired, video switching, video signal processing, video merging (blend, fade-to, etc.), and video mixing (mosaic, split-screen, wipe, etc.) may be included, and video signals incoming and outgoing from the aggregate instrument may be included in the instrument interface.

Lighting and special effects are typically driven by control signals. Figure 9c-9e illustrate various techniques for handling these types of control signals. For example, in Figure 9c, applicable control signals **980** are fanned-out over physically distributed paths **980a – 980n** to intelligent interpreting elements **960a – 960n**, which in turn create modulated power or other types of more primitive control signals **952a – 952n**. These primitive control signals **952a-952n** are then communicated to relatively non-intelligent lighting or special effect elements **970a – 970n**, which may internally comprise lights, motors, solenoids, piezo elements, heating elements, spark-gaps, valves, pumps, etc. Figure 9c shows an arrangement with one relatively non-intelligent lighting or special effect element **970a – 970n** is respectively associated with each intelligent “interpreting” element **960a – 960n**, where each intelligent interpreting elements **960a – 960n** may control more than one relatively non-intelligent lighting or special effect element. Figure 9d shows this taken to the extreme where a single comprehensive intelligent interpreting element **990** directly creates more primitive control signals **952a – 952n** for all of the relatively non-intelligent lighting or special effect elements **970a – 970n**.

Figure 9e shows an exemplary third arrangement where a single intelligent interpreting element or protocol converter element **995** creates specialized control signals **952a – 952n** directed to lower-level intelligent interpreting elements **960a – 960n**, which in

turn create the primitive control signals 952a – 952n for all of the relatively non-intelligent lighting or special effect elements 970a – 970n. A specific example of a protocol conversion would be where the applicable control signals 980 are of MIDI format and the specialized control signals 952a – 952n are of DMX format (commonly used in stage-scale lighting and special effects systems). The invention also provides for instrument aggregates and individual instrument modules and sub-modules to employ computer interfaces and signals such as RS-232, USB, VGA, Ethernet, FireWire™, etc. These signals may be supported by special provisions or by configurations similar to those illustrated thus far for audio, control, and video signals. In particular, this may include computer data signal routing and processing.

Within an aggregate instrument (or complex instrument module) the various audio and control signals having internal sources or destinations will typically need to connect with various instrument modules or related systems. Connectors with space-division (one physical path per signal) wiring may be used, or signals may be multiplexed together utilizing time-division, frequency-division, wavelength division, or other suitable multiplexing methodologies. Signal connections may be electrical, optical, or both in combination. Electrical signals may be carried over balanced or unbalanced circuits. Connectors may connect with various instrument modules or related systems via a flexible cable harness or a fixed-position connector, which may comprise part of the physical mounting arrangement involved in securing the various instrument modules or related systems to the mounting frame.

The invention provides for various individual interconnection fabrics (audio 902, control 952, video, etc.) to be realized in part or in whole with a multiple I/O port signal bus.

Further, the invention provides for two or more signal types (audio in, audio out, control in, control out, video in, video out, etc.) that are carried across the connector to be multiplexed together as may be required or desired in a particular application. In one very flexible and evolvable arrangement, all signal types are multiplexed together and connectors with the various instrument modules or related systems share at least a common interconnection fabric. Finally, the invention provides for any needed signal ground to either be included in the connectors, provided by the mechanical mounting arrangements (for example, mounting-screw 504 sites 503 with the mounting frames), or an appropriate combination of both methodologies. It is noted, however, that in certain implementations, for example where all signals are carried optically, no signal ground may be needed.

2.4 Power Routing and Protective Grounding

The invention provides for a wide range of power routing and protective grounding types and implementations to be associated with the aggregation frame. Only a few exemplary approaches are provided herein, but the invention provides for additional implementations deriving from (or alternative to) these as one skilled in the art appreciates.

Exemplary powering classes include:

- Low-current power (for simpler signal processing, controllers, etc.); and
- Moderate-current power (for more power consuming signal processing, lighting, video, power amplifiers, electro-mechanical devices, etc.).

Powering could be provided on the same connectors used for handling signals, separate connectors dedicated only for powering, or in the mechanical mounting arrangements.

Exemplary standard low-current powering may involve a two-wire single power supply, a three-wire complementary split power supply, a four-wire arrangement involving a

three-wire complementary split power supply for signal electronics sharing a common power ground with a logic supply, or a five-wire arrangement involving a three-wire complementary split power supply for signal electronics and a two-wire single logic power supply not sharing a common power ground with the signal complementary split power supply. Exemplary standard moderate-current powering may involve a two-wire single power supply that may or may not share a common conductor with other powering and grounding arrangements.

At each connection site in the power distribution, power supply decoupling may be employed. Such power supply decoupling may comprise low-pass filters, ferrites, bypass capacitors, series inductors, etc., and may be located within instrument modules and related systems, the mounting frame, cable harnesses, connectors, or elsewhere, and may be distributed among two or more of these systems and components. It is also understood that various voltage regulation schemes may be used. In some configurations, a common regulator may serve the entire instrument frame, but in most situations it is usually preferable to perform voltage regulation within each module. In situations where a module permits additional sub-modules that require active powering, the hosting module may provide regulated or unregulated power to the sub modules, which in turn may contain their own regulation. Certain types of modules, for example lighting or electro-mechanical devices, may not need regulation but provide controlled voltage conditions to internal elements (such as light elements, motors, solenoids, etc.) via controllable voltage-source circuitry such as emitter followers or high-current op-amps.

Protective grounding could be provided on the same connectors used for signals, on the same connectors used for powering, separate connectors, or in the mechanical mounting

arrangements. In certain configurations protective grounding may share a conductor with powering. In some specialized low-power situations, the protective grounding, one conductor associated with power, and the signal ground could share a common conductor.

2.5 Instrument Interface, Switching, Mixing, Merging, Processing, and Sound

Production Modules

The previous section described the role of instrument interfacing, switching, mixing, merging, and processing, particularly in conjunction with Figures 8, 9a and 9b. The following provides a more detailed description of how these features may be implemented.

2.5.1 Instrument Interfaces with External Equipment

A wide range of instrument interface types and implementations may be associated with the aggregation frame. Only a few exemplary approaches are illustratively provided here, but the invention provides for additional implementations deriving from or alternative to these as one skilled in the art appreciates.

As previously noted, a number of different types of generalized instrument interfaces may be used, including, for example, the generalized instrument interfaces disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,570,078. A suitable generalized instrument interface may generally include single or multiple connectors, signals in space-division or multiplexed formats, media of electrical, optical fiber, wireless, or combinations of these. Signals carried by the generalized instrument interface include an instrument's incoming and outgoing audio signals, incoming and outgoing control signals, and incoming and outgoing video signals, as relevant to the instrument and supporting systems. Outgoing audio signals in particular, and often outgoing control signals as well, may comprise multiple channels which are well suited to the aggregated instruments described herein.

2.5.2 On-Instrument Signal Switching, Mixing/Merging, and Signal Processing

Figure 8 shows the use of audio switching for flexibly handling the multitude of audio signals inside an aggregated instrument of moderate complexity. More specifically, the audio switching and/or mixing element **815** accepts incoming audio signals **850** from various audio signal sources (for example, vibration-sensing transducers, on-instrument synthesizer modules, signal processor outputs, etc.) and provides outgoing audio signals **863** to the instrument interface **800**, outgoing audio signals **861** to the control signal extraction element **814**, and outgoing audio signals **860** to other destinations (for example, drive transducers, on-instrument sound production modules, signal processor inputs, etc.). Audio element **815** may be controlled by an incoming control signal **825** (which may originate from an on-instrument controller, the control signal fan-out and/or processor **811**, etc.).

Figure 9a shows an abstraction of this exemplary case to a more general setting featuring possible audio switched interconnect functionality **902** and audio mixing functionality **904** which provide interconnect and mix operations on incoming audio signals **901**, outgoing audio signals **905**, and audio signals to and from various audio signal processing modules which may exist (such **903a** and **903b**). Note audio signal processors may have one input, as depicted by **903a**, or multiple inputs, as indicated by **903b**, as well as single or multiple outputs. The audio switched interconnect functionality **902**, audio mixing functionality **904**, and signal processors **903a**, **903b** may each be controlled by exogenous control signals (as provided in Figure 8).

The example of Figure 8 also illustratives various aspects of control signal fan-out, processing, and merging. Figure 9b abstracts this to also include potential control signal switching. More specifically, Figure 9b shows an abstraction of the exemplary case of

Figure 9a into a more general setting featuring possible control switched interconnect functionality 902 and control merging functionality 904 which provide interconnect and mix operations on incoming control signals 901, outgoing control signals 905, and control signals to and from various control signal processing modules which may exist (such 903a and 903b). Note that control signal processors may have one input, as depicted by 903a, or multiple inputs, as indicated by 903b, as well as single or multiple outputs. The control switched interconnect functionality 902, control merging functionality 904, and control signal processors 903a, 903b may each be controlled by exogenous control signals (as shown in Figure 8).

Video signals, if utilized in a particular aggregated instrument configuration, are likely to be sparsely existent and require little handling or special consideration. An aggregated instrument may simply have one or more video cameras and/or video displays, and all video signals would be directly connected between these components and the instrument interface 800, as augmented to include video signals using, for example, the techniques disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,570,078 as explained earlier. In more complex arrangements, video switching, video signal processing, and video signal mixing and merging may be included. Further, video may be converted into control signals or rendered under the direction of control signals using, for example, the techniques provided in U.S. Patent 6,570,078. Therefore, an exemplary general arrangement may be akin to that shown by Figures 9a and 8 but with audio signals and associated audio elements are replaced with video signals and associated video elements.

2.5.3 On-Instrument Sound Production

A wide range of on-instrument sound production module types and implementations may be associated with the aggregation frame. Only a few exemplary approaches are illustrated, but additional implementations are possible within the teachings of the present invention.

5 Sound production modules may be implemented using a number of physical formats, output powers, sound distribution patterns, etc. For example, multi-channel configurations may be implemented in a unitary housing, a group of functionally associated modules (separate left and right tweeters/midrange, woofers, etc.), or by a plurality of individual modules of differing or equivalent types. Examples of the latter include a self-contained
10 wide-range single-channel module that could be used for a left channel or a right channel, a subwoofer module that could be shared between the left and right channels, etc. With the modular format, additional channels of various types can be added for special purposes – for example a hexaphonic amplification system, short-throw and long-throw amplification systems, etc.

15 It is also readily possible for sound production modules to support one or more sub-modules. For example, the sound production modules may be limited to speaker and baffle combinations with insertable amplifier modules of various types associated with various brand-name manufacturers or differentiated by functions (internal equalization, distortion characteristics, damping at low frequencies, etc.). Further, the amplification modules may be
20 limited to power amplification and co-exist with insertable pre-amplifier modules of various types associated with various brand-name manufacturers or differentiated by functions (internal equalization, distortion characteristics, double-integrator at low frequencies for sound production below the resonance frequency of a speaker enclosure). Particular

examples of suitable systems that may be used to implement the amplification module are the Bag End™ Extended Low Frequency ELF™ system or the system described in U.S. Patent 4,481,662 by Long and Wickersham. Alternatively, such pre-amplifier functions may be segregated out of the sound production modules altogether and be treated as a signal processing module as discussed above in Section 2.5.4.

At a higher level, Figures 10a-10b illustrate possible techniques for incorporating various types of sound production modules into an instrument frame. Figure 10a depicts an exemplary stringed-instrument configuration while Figure 10b depicts an exemplary keyboard-instrument configuration. In each, two sound production elements **1004a**, **1004b** are included. The two sound production elements may be configured as separate modules defining a gap **1006** between them, and connected by a supporting beam **1005**. Mounting elements **1001a**, **1001b** are shown providing additional support to the two sound production elements. Alternatively, the two sound production elements **1004a**, **1004b** may be incorporated into a common module wherein the volume **1006** is a structure physically connecting the two sound production elements **1004a**, **1004b**; here the structure **1006** may comprise electronics, subwoofers, etc. In this situation, supporting beam **1005** may not be needed or used. In another approach, the two sound production elements **1004a**, **1004b** may fit into a multiple-site frame, as will be described later in conjunction with later Figures; frame **1600**, for example, in Figures 16, 17, and 18a-18c, may provide additional mounting sites for additional sound production elements, signal processing modules, pre-amplifier modules, control modules, or even miniature instrument modules (one octave keyboard, mini-zither, mbira, etc.). Once again, supporting beam **1005** is an optional component and may be omitted as may be required or desired.

Figures 10a and 10b also depict an additional module **1003**. This module could be a signal processing module, pre-amplifier module, control module, or even miniature instrument modules (one octave keyboard, mini-zither, mbira, etc.). In the case of Figure 10a, the module does not span the full distance between supporting frame elements **1001a** and **1001b** to provide a desired open space for user access to the neck of the stringed instrument module **1002**. Although this module is shown secured to the frame element **1001a** (and possibly the side or rear of stringed instrument module **1002**), a supporting beam such as **1005** may be used without excessively interfering with user access to the neck of the stringed instrument module **1002**. In the keyboard-oriented example of Figure 10b, the same range of mounting options can also be applied for module **1003**. Here module **1003** may additionally or alternatively include a music synthesizer, or provide control signals to a music synthesizer mounted elsewhere (for example, in the volume **1006**) or within the keyboard module **1012** itself.

In many situations, it may be desirable to mount the sound production modules such as **1004a**, **1004b** in other locations. For example, the locations shown in Figures 10a and 10b may get covered from time-to-time by the musician's arms. In the stringed instrument example of Figure 10a, the proximity of the instrument modules **1004a**, **1004b** to the stringed instrument module **1002** may cause acoustic feedback, a situation that may be either desirable or non-desirable. Thus, when feedback is not desired the sound production modules (such as **1004a**, **1004b**) can be mounted in locations not normally covered by the musician's arms, rather than being physically adjacent to a stringed instrument module **1002**, etc., as particular needs may make advantageous.

Finally, it is understood that sound production modules may be freely incorporated into an aggregated instrument design. For example, either configuration of Figures 10a and 10b may be further expanded to include a number of other instrument modules (stringed instruments, keyboards, percussion controllers, etc.). The mounting frame may be worn with a flexible shoulder strap, supported by a stand, etc., as in the various cases depicted in Figures 3a-3e, and may be a flat frame, staircase frame (as in Figure 6a), curved frame, etc. The position shown occupied by the stringed instrument module 1002 of Figure 10a or the keyboard module of Figure 10b may be alternatively be occupied by a rotating mounting arrangement 401, which in turn supports a plurality of various instrument modules as previously described.

3. Instrument Modules

A wide range of instrument module types and implementations may be associated with the aggregation frame. Although a few exemplary approaches are illustrated, additional implementations may be implemented to accommodate the requirements of a particular application.

3.1 Stringed Instrument Modules

In accordance with some embodiments, a wide range of stringed instrument modules and associated sub-module configurations may be implemented. These include, but not limited to, various forms of guitars, basses, dulcimers, banjos, mandolins, mandolas, sitars, pipas, biwas, violins/cellos, ouds, shamisans, kotos, harps, zithers, and many other related instruments.

Some basic aspects of stringed instrument modules and associated sub-module configurations will be described with reference to the exemplary guitar module **1100** shown in Figure 11.

In this figure, the exemplary guitar module **1100** is shown with an array of tuners (“tuning heads”) **1106** which may use gears, screw cantilevers, etc. to vary the tension of strings. This particular module also features a fretted neck array **1107** which may be an integral part of the module **1100**, or an installable sub-module (as will be described in conjunction with Figures 13a-13b and 14a-14i). This particular module further features mounting areas **1105a**, **1105b** for mounting into frames, in which the array of tuners **1106** and the affiliated structure extends beyond the confines of the frame boundary (as depicted in the configurations of Figures 2a and 10a). Configurations where the array of tuners lies within the confines of the frame boundary will be described in more detail with respect to Figures 12a-12c.

The exemplary guitar module **1100** is shown having a string termination structure **1104** which may or may not include a bridge for the strings. This illustration also shows an open volume **1101** in which a sub-module **1102** of various types may be inserted. The sub-module **1102** may or may not include a bridge for the strings, and may or may not include vibration-sensing transducers and vibration-drive transducers. These transducers and/or the bridge (which may also include a transducer) may be integrally built into the sub-module **1102**, or may in turn themselves be sub-modules **1103a**, **1103b** that may be installed in the sub-module **1102**. This arrangement may be configured so that such transducer and/or bridge sub-modules **1103a**, **1103b** may be installed directly (or via a mechanical adapter) into the open volume **1101**.

Of demonstrable interest depicting flexibilities of the invention is the example cases where the transducers may not only be mounted in arbitrary fixed positions along the string length, but also actively movable along the string length during performance by mechanical, or by electrically-controlled motorized means. These arrangements are applicable to a wide range of transducer and instrument types.

Figures 12a-12c show a number of exemplary configurations where the array of tuners lie within the confines of the frame boundary. Figure 12a shows a stringed instrument module 1200 having the array of tuners 1206 lying between the mounting areas 1205a, 1205b. This particular configuration shows the hand adjustment keys for the tuners extending outward parallel to the plane of the instrument's neck surface, as is traditional for many electric guitars. Alternatively, these tuning keys may be configured to point outwards and backwards, orthogonal to the plane of the instrument neck surface, as is also traditional for classical guitars and some banjos. Also depicted is a bridge 1203a (which may include a transducer) and transducers 1203b, 1203c.

Figure 12b shows a stringed instrument module 1230 with the array of screw cantilever tuners 1236 lying between the mounting areas 1235a, 1235b. This configuration the screw cantilevers tuners 1236 serve as the bridge (although other arrangements are of course possible) and the "set-screw" hand adjustment keys for the tuners extend outwards and forwards, orthogonal to the plane of the instrument neck surface as is found on some electric guitars and basses. Also depicted are transducers 1233a, 1233b.

Figure 12c shows a stringed instrument module 1270 with the array of tuners 1276 lying between the mounting areas 1275a, 1275b. This configuration shows the hand adjustment keys for the tuners extending outward parallel to the plane of the instrument's

neck surface, as is traditional for many electric guitars. Alternatively, these tuning keys may be configured to point outward and forward, orthogonal to the plane of the instrument neck surface. Also depicted is a bridge **1273a** (which may include a transducer) and transducers **1273b**, **1273c**. In each of the configurations of Figures 12a-12c, it is to be understood that fewer or additional tuners and associated hand adjustment keys may be included, and in particular for double strung (“two course”) instruments, tuners may be configured so that some hand adjustment keys are oriented in one direction while others are oriented in a different direction, so as to functionally utilize limited space. It is also possible to place one set of tuners at one end (such as those of **1206**) of the instrument for course tuning, secure the string tension with a “locking” pinch nut, and use screw cantilever tuners (such as those of **1236**) for fine tuning as made commonplace using conventional designs (specific examples being the Floyd Rose tremolo tailpiece and the tuners described in U.S. Patent 4,171,661 by Rose).

Furthermore, as to the modular flexibility provided in accordance with some embodiments, Figures 13a-13b and 14a-14i illustrate the use of modularity in changing the character of the neck’s playing surface. Figure 13a shows the instrument without strings, depicting mounting areas **1305a**, **1305b** and, as previously-noted course tuners **1306a** and fine tuners **1306b**. In this example the course tuners extend beyond the confines of the frame boundary (as with the example in Figure 11), but could alternatively be configured within the confines of the frame boundary (as in Figures 12a-12c). Of principal importance is the open volume **1301** which may be fitted with various modules and sub-modules. As this volume effectively comprises a considerable portion of the instrument’s string length, the open

volume **1301** may be fitted with not only the types of sub-modules considered earlier in conjunction with Figure 11, but also with a number of other playing-surface neck inserts.

Figure 13b shows the configuration of Figure 13a with strings attached. Note that in this example a portion of the strings are confined within channels beneath the mounting area **1305b**. Alternatively, the strings could be suspended over the mounting area **1305b** with enough clearance to allow for the mounting plates (for example **500b**, **510**, **520** in Figure 5a-5f) to be installed and removed.

A number of exemplary playing-surface neck inserts for installation in the open volume **1301** are depicted in Figures 14a-14i. Figure 14a shows an exemplary playing-surface neck insert with frets **1401** suitable for guitar, fretted bass, mandolin, mandola, and other even-tempered scale instruments. Even-tempered scale instruments, such as the ones just listed, traditionally have twelve intervals per octave, but other types of scales may be used. For example, the Turkish saz traditionally uses a “quarter tone” scale with 24 intervals per octave – such a playing-surface neck insert would also resemble Figure 14a but with a higher density of frets. Other implementations of playing-surface neck inserts may support non-even-tempered scales, such as intonation, mean tone, etc. For these types of scales, the frets may be non-uniformly spaced, zig-zaged or even split as often found on a dulcimer (discussed below and as suggested in Figure 14i).

Figure 14b shows a playing-surface neck insert with a fretting system similar to that traditionally employed in Asian instruments, such as the Chinese pipa. In this Figure, the frets **1402a** are the angular edges of triangular wedges **1402b**. This style of fret allows for the strings to be deeply displaced into the triangular cavities between adjacent frets. The resulting method of changing the string tension naturally permits a distinctive type of vibrato

and pitch bend compared to the universal practice, common to almost all fretting systems, of dragging the string transversely across the fret.

Figure 14c illustrates a playing-surface neck insert featuring curved broad frets **1403** that are often used in the Indian sitar, esraj, and dilruba. This style of fret allows for the strings to be significantly displaced across the arc of the curved fret by dragging the string transversely across the fret. Here, however, a substantially longer vibrating string length is realized during string displacement due to the curvature of the fret. This configuration causes the string to enlarge, resulting in yet another dynamic of changing string tension, and naturally creating a distinctive type of vibrato and pitch bend.

Figure 14d shows a playing-surface neck insert comprised of a smooth, fretless playing-surface **1404**, as may be used with a violin, cello, fretless electric bass, Turkish oud, Japanese shamisen, Korean kum, and other related instruments. The surface **1404** may be flat, slightly curved, as found on a typical electric fretless bass or shamisen, or more significantly curved, as found on a conventional violin, cello, or kum.

Figure 14e shows a playing-surface neck insert comprised of a smooth, fretless playing-surface **1415** similar to that of Figure 14d. In this figure, the neck insert includes additional raised bridges **1405a** suspending the strings in open space as may be used with a Japanese koto, Chinese sheng (or gu zheng), Korean kayagum, Korean taejaeng, Korean ajaeng, Korean sul, and other related instruments. It is also noted that the Korean komun'go uses the koto-style bridges **1405a** as well as high fin-like frets **1407** (to be discussed in relation to Figure 14g) on the same string. The surface **1415** may be flat or curved, and in fact may be exactly that of **1404** simply supplemented with the string-suspending bridges **1405a**. The portion of the string on one side of its associated string-suspending bridge is

plucked, while the portion on the other side of string-suspending bridge is either not touched, pushed down (to increase the string's sounding pitch), or if the string tension is low enough, pulled longitudinally to-and-fro (to both increase and decrease the string's sounding pitch).

The string-suspending bridges **1405a** may be secured to the surface **1415**, but with

5 appropriate design and string tension they are naturally held in place (even under considerable lateral disturbance) as is the tradition with these instruments. The resulting “movable” bridges not only facilitate rapid changes in open-string tuning, but traditionally rock slightly with variations in string tension, adding to the distinctive type of vibrato and pitch bend made possible by the string-suspending bridge.

10 Figure 14f illustrates a playing-surface neck insert featuring broad step-like frets **1406** that are commonly used in Asian instruments such as the Japanese biwa. The large gaps between the broad step-like frets permit vibrato and pitch bend not unlike that of the pipa style frets depicted in Figure 14b.

Figure 14g illustrates a playing-surface neck insert featuring high fin-like frets **1407**
15 that are often used in Asian instruments such as the Chinese ruan, Korean wolgum, and Korean komun'go. The large gaps between the high fin-like frets permit vibrato and pitch bend not unlike that of the pipa style frets depicted in Figure 14b and the biwa style frets depicted in Figure 14f. It is noted that the Korean komun'go uses both the high fin-like frets **1407** and the koto-style bridges **1405a** (of Figure 14e) on the same string. Thus, in one
20 implementation, a playing-surface neck insert such as that of Figure 14g featuring high fin-like frets **1407**, intended for use in the context of a Chinese ruan or Korean wolgum, may be further fitted with the same movable string-suspending bridges **1405a** as depicted in Figure 14e to create a Korean komun'go configuration.

Figure 14h illustrates a playing-surface neck insert featuring an escalloped neck surface area 1408 between pairs of frets 1408a and 1408b, which, similar to the pipa type neck depicted in Figure 14b allow for downward pressure to be applied on the string to increase the pitch. This type of neck and fret configuration may be found in the South Indian vina, but was popularized in various forms for use with a guitar by guitarist Matthew Montfort of ensemble Ancient Future, jazz/rock guitarist John McLaughlin, and rock guitarists Yngwie Malmsteen and Ritchie Blackmore (the latter of which each have a namesake scalloped neck Stratocaster™ model manufactured by and commercially available from Fender Musical Instruments Corporation, Scottsdale, AZ). Typically associated with higher string tensions and purely metal strings, the resulting combination of neck configuration, string tension, and associated taunt metal string elasticity gives rise to a distinctive type of vibrato and pitch bend.

Figure 14i illustrates a playing-surface neck insert featuring a neck surface 1409 fitted with a plurality of partially-spanning frets, such as 1409a, 1409b, and full-span frets, such as 1409c, each typically positioned in association with specifically designated scales and open string tunings. Note that in principal the use of partially spanning frets may be applied to other configurations (such as, those depicted in Figure 14b and Figures 14f – 14h).

In the various configurations described above, the playing-surface neck inserts may simply be isolated neck playing surface sub-modules or, may include appropriately configured bridges, transducers, etc.

In addition to the various types of playing-surface neck inserts described above in conjunction with Figures 14a-14i, it is also possible to fill the gap 1301 (see Figure 13) with a low-cost ornamental filler block, or surface cover, or leave the gap 1301 completely open

to readily realize the configurations used in a harp, zither, sympathetic string array, etc. Larger format string arrays for use as harp, zither, sympathetic string arrays, etc. may also be formed as a self-contained instrument module.

Figure 15 shows an exemplary open gap configuration of instrument module **1500** secured to mounting areas **1505a**, **1505b**, a larger plurality of strings **1509** and associated tuners **1506**, which are shown arranged to facilitate a spectrum of different string lengths. In this particular example, fast-adjust stepwise re-tuners **1508** (a specific example being the Trilogy™ bridge manufactured by Hipshot Products, Inc., Interlaken, NY) may be added to rapidly re-adjust the pitch of selected strings as keys and scales change.

3.2 Keyboard modules and sub-modules

The electronic keyboard instrument modules that have been described include the modules shown in Figure 2a (**217**), Figure 2b (**261**, **262**), Figure 7g (**791**), and Figure 10b (**1012**). In general, these keyboards instrument modules may have full-sized keys or utilize miniature keys. The keyboard modules may be a holistic integrated unit or may be comprised of individual modules, each comprising a smaller number of keys. The keys themselves may be simple on/off switches, single-pole double-throw switches (as often used for gross velocity measurements), and/or comprise one or more sensors (using, for example, the sensor designs and configurations presented in U.S. Patent 6,570,078), to provide additional levels of expressive control. The keyboard modules may or may not produce control signals in MIDI format, and may or may not include at least one internally housed music synthesizer. Keyboard modules that are a holistic integrated unit may also include various electronic controls, such as, for example, buttons, switches, expression wheels/levers/joysticks, sliders, knobs, etc.

3.3 Hierarchical Frames For Smaller Format Modules

Considerable description has been provided relating to instrument modules of larger size format, including Figures 11, 12a-12c, 13a-13b, 14a-14i, and 15, and in portions of Figure 2a (211, 212, 213, 214, 217), Figure 2b (261, 262, 263), Figures 7e-7g, Figure 10a (1002), Figure 10b (1012), Figure 11, Figures 12a-12c, Figure 13, and Figure 15. However, smaller-sized modules may also be implemented, such as suggested by Figure 2a (215, 216), Figure 2b (271-276), and Figures 10a-10b (1003). With the adoption of one or more standardized sizes for smaller modules, various types of hierarchical frame arrangements for these smaller modules can be provided to hold one or more of these smaller modules in an aggregated instrument frame. Further, the aggregate instrument frame holding the hierarchical frame may also hold larger instrument modules. Figure 10a-10b illustrated the use of a supporting bar 1005 for this purpose.

Figure 16 shows another approach where a windowed hierarchical frame 1600 is configured to externally match the larger size module format, including the large format mounting areas 1605a, 1605b, and internally match the smaller sized module format with open mounting areas or volumes 1601 to hold one or more smaller format modules. Figure 16 further shows a small format touch pad sensor module 1630 (which may be implemented using, for example, the touch pad sensor designs disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,570,078), a single-octave keyboard module 1640, and a small format electronic control panel 1650 (shown featuring eight push buttons and eight slider controls). Figure 16 also shows how this principle can be extended by including two exemplary small format second-level hierarchical windowed frames 1610, 1620. These hierarchical windowed frames may be further configured to externally match the smaller module format and internally match even smaller

sized module formats employing open mounting areas or volumes **1611**, **1621** to hold one or more even smaller format modules **1671**, **1672** and, for example, still smaller format modules **1671a**, **1672a**, **1673**, and **1674**. In this particular example, modules **1671**, **1671a** may be strumpads, modules **1672**, **1672a** may be touch pads, module **1673** may be a pair of slider controls, and module **1674** may be a group of percussion-synthesis-controlling impact sensors. In some overall schemes, these smaller format elements may also serve as optional sub-module in other configurations. For example, stringed instrument transducer support sub-module **1102** (referring to Figure 11) for fitting into a stringed instrument module **1100** may include one or more regions for mounting “sub-module” items such as strumpads **1671**, **1671a**, touch pads **1672**, **1672a**, sliders **1673**, impact sensors **1674**, chord button arrays, etc.

It is noted that the relative size and spacing configurations of the various module formats depicted in the figures is exemplary and that other configuration may be implemented as may required or desired. For example, the hierarchical frame **1600** shown in Figure 16 comprises five open volumes **1601** of an exemplary size. Another hierarchical frame may comprise a larger or smaller number of open volumes **1601** of the same size, or of a different size profile better matching the situation of the different number of open volumes **1601**.

Figure 17 is one example of how one-octave keyboard modules may be used to create a larger contiguous multi-octave keyboard. In this figure, the hierarchical frame **1600a** comprises six open areas, each receiving a one-octave keyboard module **1640**, thus creating a larger composite contiguous multi-octave keyboard **1700**. As shown, each of the exemplary one-octave keyboard modules **1640** range from “F” to “E,” resulting in a “F” to “E” range for the resulting composite multi-octave keyboard **1700**. Other arrangements are possible,

including configurations with modules of slightly different sizes and key sequences, for example, to realize more traditional “C” to “C” multi-octave keyboard configurations.

These hierarchical frames allow for wide ranges of additional customization accommodating a particular performing, recording, or composing musician’s needs. Some illustrative examples from the extensive range of possibilities are shown in Figures 18a-18c. Figure 18a shows the six “space” (here a “space” refers to an open volume **1601**) hierarchical frame **1600a**. A musician may have a very complex need in an aggregate instrument array, comparable to that depicted in Figure 2a, either worn as in Figure 3c or implemented using a stand support as depicted in Figure 3e. This musician assembles the highly specialized hodge-podge depicted in Figure 18b. The mounting areas **1605a**, **1605b** are secured in the mounting frame (for example Figures 5a-5f or 6a-6b) at the far bottom of the frame (seen closest to the floor in Figure 3e), with a stringed instrument module, such as those depicted in Figure 12a, immediately above it. Figure 18b shows a one-octave keyboard **1640a** and touch pad **1630**, both within reach of the right hand fingers that are playing the strings so as to be operable at the same time the strings are played, or at least be immediately reachable.

On the left side, a second one-octave keyboard **1640b** is configured to face in the opposite direction to be readily reachable and by from the left hand positioned on the stringed instrument’s neck. The musician can thus access the second one-octave keyboard **1640b** in a fashion familiar to a guitarist playing a multiple neck guitar. Figure 18b also shows a set of percussion-triggering impact sensors **1672** in a second-level hierarchical frame **1620**, positioned near the user’s left hand playing position, but readily operable by both hands. A set of controls **1650** are readily operable by both hands and may be used for

generating MIDI commands to control signal processors, synthesizer modules, lighting, etc. which can be internal or external to the aggregated instrument configuration of Figure 18b.

Figure 19c depicts another scenario where a musician may be working with a complex set of percussion sounds and need a large array of percussion-triggering impact sensors. This musician populated the hierarchical frame **1600a** with second-level hierarchy frames **1610** or **1620** to host a large number of impact sensor “sub-modules.” Figure 18c illustrates such a configuration employing hierarchical frame **1600a**, second-level hierarchy frames **1620**, and “sub-modules” **1870**. For this musician, the impact sensors may be implemented using simple piezo-based sensors, similar in size to that of touch pad **1672** of Figure 16. The arrangement of Figure 18c may be used in isolation, in a self-amplified arrangement, such as shown in Figures 10a or 10b, as part of a larger aggregated instrument of the general form seen in Figures 2a-2b, or as part of a much larger array of impact sensors as shown in Figure 19h (here comprising four instances of the arrangement of Figure 18c).

Later a musician may replace some or all of these sensor sub-modules with actual touch pad sensor “sub-modules” **1672** providing additional control to the musician by allowing control of the sound modification based on where and how the sensor is contacted during and after the impact (using, for example, the sensor designs taught in U.S. Patent 6,570,078). The modularity provided for by the invention readily facilitates these types of incremental changes.

A musician may want to expand upon the general idea of the Buchla “Thunder” product (Buchla & Associates, Berkeley, California) and use a configuration similar to the arrangement in Figure 18c, but instead replaces sub-modules **1870** with a corresponding

series of touch pad 1672 sub-modules. The three specific examples that have been described are merely representative of the many possible configurations provided for by this invention.

3.4 Electronic Control Modules and Sub-Modules

5 As described above, an aggregate instrument may be configured using a number of electronic control modules and sub-modules. These modules and sub-modules include, but are certainly not limited to the following, which may be provided individually or in groups:

- strumpads
- impact sensors
- 10 • pressure sensors
- null-contact touchpads
- pressure sensor array pads
- switches, multiple-position selectors, rotational or linear-motion encoders, etc.
- push buttons
- 15 • slider and knob potentiometers
- joysticks, ribbon controllers

In some situations, some of these modules can be ganged together. For example, an impact or pressure sensor may be attached to the back or bottom surface of a strumpad, a null-contact touchpad, a pressure sensor array pad, or a ribbon controller, etc. The impact or
20 pressure sensor may be actuated by impact or pressure imparted to any of the top surfaces of the later items by hand or other means. Additionally, an impact or pressure sensor may in some fashion be attached to a slider, knob, joystick, pushbutton, etc.; similarly, a pushbutton or knob potentiometer may be attached to the end handle of a joystick, etc.

Most of these individual or ganged items may serve as sub-modules, but some of these items (such as strumpads, joysticks, ribbon controllers, null-contact touchpads, and pressure sensor array pads) may also serve as modules themselves. In groups, the resulting configuration may be targeted for module or sub-module roles. The invention also provides
5 for sub-modules to interchangeably serve as small-format instrument modules, as described in Section 3.3 above.

In some implementations, it may be desirable to limit the types of electrical signal formats and protocols. In such a configuration, a simple low-cost chip with a small physical profile (for example, a surface-mount technology) may be used. A simplistic implementation
10 could include the use of control signals in MIDI format (perhaps augmented by protocol and/or speed extensions).

3.5 Small Instrument Sub-Modules Containing Physically Vibrating Elements

In addition to the various keyboard and electronic control modules described thus far,
15 additional variations include the use of a wide variety of small format musical instrument modules that contain physical vibrating elements. Particular examples include, but are not limited to:

- Small arrays of strings configured as miniature harps, zithers, autoharps, sympathetic strings, etc.;
- Small arrays of tynes configured as mbiras, music box sounding "combs",
20 etc.;
- Small arrays of tuned chime bars, tuned chime tubes, tuned cymbals, etc.

In some configurations, a separate vibration-sensing transducer may be provided for each individual vibrating element to produce individual electrical signals associated with each element. This may be advantageous for a number of reasons. Separate electrical signals are typically required for meaningful conversions to control signals, such as MIDI, when
5 employed in guitar-to-MIDI synthesizer interfaces. Additionally, separate electrical signals may be flexibly mixed to produce one or more channels of outgoing audio in fixed or time-varying proportions. One simple example of this would be to produce a stereo mix of the individual transducer signals configured to create a spatially-distributed sound field, assigning each transducer to a specific location therein. Another example would be to disable
10 the signals associated with the vibrating elements whose pitch does not match the current chord, scale, or tonality by using techniques described in U.S. Patent 6,570,078, for example.

Another valuable use of separate electrical signals is the individual signal processing of one or more selected transducer signals; for example, selected vibrating elements may be individually pitch shifted, chorused, reverbed, etc. to produce desired utility or special
15 effects. A further use of separate electrical signals is the individual restructuring of the dynamics (via envelope generators, compressors, etc.) and/or overtone series (via , for example, nonlinearities or overtone rearchitecting, as found in the Roland COSM technology, manufactured by Roland Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) of the transducer signal.

Alternatively, a single vibration-sensing transducer may be utilized for a plurality of
20 individual vibrating elements to produce a common electrical signal for the entire plurality of vibrating elements; here the plurality may be a subset of, or the full collection of, vibrating elements in the instrument module.

In addition to vibration-sensing transducers, such small format musical instrument modules may be provided with drive transducers for stimulating vibrating elements with electrical signals. The drive transducers may be used to create sympathetic vibration environments driven by arbitrary audio signals, such as those from other instrument modules within an aggregate instrument configuration. Drive transducers may also be used for the synthetic stimulation of vibrating elements within the instrument module, such as emulation of the rhythmic excitation of the strings of a South Asian tamburi as is common in raag performance tradition.

Such small format musical instrument modules may be placed in the open volume of a hierarchical frame, such as the open volume 1601 of the hierarchical frame 1600, 1600a. Further, such small format musical instrument modules may be positioned in an aggregate instrument configuration so that it may be readily playable by available fingers, or may be coupled acoustically to another instrument module comprising physically vibrating elements, or set in other arrangements.

3.6 Instrument Sub-Modules

A wide range of instrument sub-module types and implementations may be associated with the aggregation frame. Only a few exemplary approaches have been described, but it is to be understood that other implementations are possible.

A first level of sub-modules may include signal generation or receiving items such, as the following exemplary signal generation and receiving items:

- Audio signal:

- vibration-sensing transducers (for example, a single channel guitar pickup, hexaphonic pickup, etc.)
- drive transducers
- amplified speakers

5

- Control signal:

- individual strumpads
- individual impact sensors
- individual touchpads
- individual pressure sensor array pads

10

- individual lighting elements

- Mechanical:

- Bridges (note these could include vibration-sensing and/or drive transducers; see above)
- Tuning apparatus
- Playing-surface neck inserts

15

With respect to the items requiring signal interfaces, it may be desirable to limit the types of electrical signal formats and protocols. In such a configuration, a simple low-cost chip with a small physical profile (for example, in using surface-mount technology) may be used. A simplistic implementation would include the use of control signals in MIDI format (perhaps augmented by protocol and/or speed extensions). Similarly, all audio signals from these transducers could be of a common analog format. Alternatively, and preferably, when the creation of a simple low-cost high-fidelity mixed-signal chip becomes commercially viable, all audio signals could be of a common digital audio format and protocol. The latter

20

neatly solves the problem of multiple-channel transducers housed in a single package as the associated plurality of digital audio streams may be multiplexed together into a common electrical circuit or optical path of a physical level interface.

A second level of sub-modules may include items such as the following:

- 5 • Audio signal:
 - Transducer interface modules
 - Transducer signal processing modules
 - General audio signal processing modules
 - Audio signal mixing and switching modules
- 10 • Control signal:
 - Control panel modules (i.e., groups of controls, switches, etc.)
 - Control signal processing modules
 - General control signal processing modules
 - Control signal mixing and switching
 - 15 ○ Strumpads together with chord buttons (using, for example, the strumpad designs disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,570,078)
- 20 • Aggregate:
 - Transducers, bridge, and transducer interface modules
 - Transducers, bridge, and transducer interface modules together with playing-surface neck inserts
 - Transducers, bridge, and transducer interface modules together with playing-surface neck inserts and tuning apparatus.

If desired, other types of controls and signals may be employed such as those for computer controls and computer data signals.

It is envisioned that a second level sub-module may host open sites permitting the installation of one or more first level sub-modules, as well as the creation of sub-modules that interchangeably serve as small-format instrument modules, such as described earlier in Section 3.3.

3.7 Novelty Modules

With properly standardized mechanical, electrical, and protocol formats, novelty modules can freely evolve to include a wide variety of systems and structures. Some exemplary novelty modules may include, for example, the following:

- Lighting (directly controlled, animated pattern, multicolor, variable intensity, projection, motorized or lightvalve/LCD-controlled position or directionality, drum-sequencer or pitch-sequencer indication, pitch-event indication, amplitude-event indication, controller-event indication, overtone-event indication) using, for example, the techniques disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,610,917;
- Video camera (fixed or motorized position; fixed, motorized, or DSP-synthesized optics, etc. for general image capture, as a controller, or as an instrument (using, for example, the techniques disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,570,078);
- Visual display (video, computer VGA/XGA, custom pattern generating LCD, motion or still-image projection, etc.);
- Special effects (fog issuance, bubbling or swirling fluids, electrical discharge, etc.);

- Chemical reaction vessels (using, for example, the techniques disclosed in U.S. Patent 6,610,917);
- Computer interface (trackball, joystick, ASCII keyboard, specialized computer-game controllers, etc.).

5 Novelty modules may be implemented using full-sized instrument module formats, smaller formats, and/or sub-module formats. In addition, the smaller format novelty modules may interchangeably serve as sub-modules, as described in Section 3.3.

4. Additional Illustrative Example Configurations

10 Thus far it is clear that a wide range of modular and aggregated instrument types and implementations may be implemented with the aggregation frame. Some additional examples of these will now be described.

4.1 Aggregate Instrument Configurations with Purely Electronic Instrument

15 Modules and Size Variations

 The various exemplary aggregate instrument configurations discussed up to this point have largely included at least one instrument module comprising vibrating elements (e.g., vibrating strings), and many have included a mix of such vibrating element instrument modules and purely electronic instrument modules such as keyboards, touchpads, controls
20 (buttons, switches, sliders, etc.), and the like. Figures 19a-19j depict a number of exemplary configurations of purely electronic instrument aggregations (i.e., those comprising only electronic instrument modules).

Figure 19a shows a moderately large “wearable” multiple keyboard instrument aggregation **1900** comprising three keyboard modules **1902a**, **1902b**, **1903c** coupled to a staircase frame **1901** of sleek austere profile supported by an optional, flexible shoulder strap **1946**. Some or all of the keyboard modules **1902a**, **1902b**, **1903c** may be configured as a contiguous holistic module, or be constructed from a hierarchical frame **1600a** having a number of small-format keyboard modules **1640** to form a composite module **1700** as shown in Figure 17.

Figure 19b depicts an exemplary variation **1910** of the instrument aggregation of Figure 19a. Specifically, Figure 19b shows instrument aggregation **1910** where the keyboard module **1902c** has been replaced with a 5-opening hierarchical frame **1600** (obfuscated in this figure) filled with a number of small-format electronic control modules **1650a – 1650e**, and where the sleek profile staircase frame **1901** has either been fitted with endcaps or replaced altogether to form the ornamental arrangement **1909a**, **1909b**. The electronic control modules may be used to control aspects of the sounds created by the keyboards, or they may be used to control the creation of other sounds or other equipment (for example, external lighting). It is noted that the frame in either of these arrangements, as well as the others in this section, need not be of staircase form – indeed they may be coplanar/flat, curved, etc. It should also be realized that strap **1946** in Figure 19a is not required; the exemplary arrangements in this section may be implemented using any suitable support mechanism or device, including the techniques depicted in Figure 3a and Figure 3d.

Continuing with the gallery of exemplary illustrations, Figure 19c shows a larger format version **1920**, adding an additional keyboard to the arrangement **1910** of Figure 19b, and secured by ornamental frames or endcaps **1929a**, **1929b**. In practice, a wearable

keyboard could readily include as many as five keyboards arranged in this fashion, particularly if miniature keyboards are used. In Figure 19c, the keyboards **1700a – 1700d** may each be implemented using the hierarchically-constructed composite module **1700** depicted in Figure 17.

5 Figure 19d illustrates another exemplary arrangement **1930** where the electronic control modules **1650a – 1650e** are positioned on the side of the keyboards **1700a – 1700e**. In one realization of this configuration, the underlying frame holding keyboards **1700a – 1700e** may be wider than those described above to provide an extra open volume for mounting the electronic control modules **1650a – 1650e** (for example, permitting electronic
10 control modules **1650a** to be put on one side of the same hierarchical frame **1700a**. In another realization of this configuration, the size of the underlying frame may be the same or similar to the frame size utilized in the embodiments depicted in Figures 19a-19, but in this case the keyboards **1700a – 1700e** are miniature keyboards. Again, ornamental frames or endcaps **1929a, 1929b** are shown, but other frame profile arrangements, such as those
15 depicted in Figure 19a, may be used.

Figures 19e and 19f illustrate electronic controller module aggregations that implement non-keyboard instrument modules. In general, the various individual modules may be used to control music synthesizers, sample players, lighting, signal processing, etc. When used to control music synthesizers or sample players, these arrangements may be used
20 for electronic percussion or musical timbre “finger painting.”

Figure 19e begins this sequence with a small format configuration **1940** configured using shorter hierarchical frames. One of these shorter hierarchical frames has two open volumes in which two touchpads or pressure sensor array pads **1921a, 1921b** have been

mounted or otherwise secured. The other frame is shown having three openings. In one of these openings, two of the electronic control modules **1650a**, **1650b** have been mounted.

The third opening has a smaller hierarchical frame **1941**, which may be the same or similar size as the electronic control modules **1650a**, **1650b**. The smaller hierarchical frame **1941** is shown configured with four openings for smaller touchpads, smaller pressure sensors, impact sensors, lights, etc. **1942a – 1942d**. The configuration of Figure 19e is also depicted with an optional, flexible shoulder strap **1946**.

The exemplary configuration depicted in Figure 19f returns to the use of the 6-opening hierarchical frame **1600a** (as shown in Figure 17). However, the configuration **1950** is shown having three such 6-opening hierarchical frames. The outer portions of each of the hierarchical frames have two mounted electronic control modules (**1650a**, **1650b** top; **1650c**, **1650d** middle; **1650e**, **1650f** bottom). The center four openings of each of the hierarchical frames host touchpads, smaller pressure sensors, impact sensors, lights, etc. (**1952a – 1952d**; top; **1952e – 1952h** middle; **1952i – 1952l** bottom).

The exemplary configuration **1960** depicted in Figure 19g illustrates another application of the 5-opening hierarchical frame. Configuration **1960** is shown with a series of smaller hierarchical frames **1620** which are each configured with a plurality of sub-modules **1672**, which may be touchpads (or smaller pressure sensors, impact sensors, lights, etc.). Such a configuration may be particularly useful as a percussion or lighting controller and, as again with all the configurations described herein, may be worn with a flexible shoulder strap supported by a floor or table stand (not shown in this figure), placed upon a support structure such as a table, or simply held by the user.

The exemplary configuration 1970 depicted in Figure 19h shows the use of other hierarchical frame formats and matching modules. In this example, the hierarchical frames may be roughly 2/3 the length of the standard size associated with the stringed instrument modules, such as those depicted in Figures 12a-12c, and may comprise a smaller number of standard size openings. Figure 19h depicts two of the three shorter hierarchical frames fitted with a smaller hierarchical frame 1620, which in turn is fully populated with sub-modules 1672 that may also be impact sensors (or small touchpads, small pressure sensors, lights, etc.). The shorter hierarchical frame, of this illustrative example, is shown mounted in the vertical center of the overall arrangement 1970 of a double-width format, and has two larger openings accepting two double-width, double-length smaller format modules 1973. In one arrangement, these double-width double-length smaller format modules 1973 are self-contained. In another arrangement, these double-width double-length smaller format modules 1973 are themselves double-width double-length hierarchical frames, with respect to the smaller format size. Figure 19h shows each of these frames identically populated with a central touchpad, pressure sensor array, etc. 1971a, 1972b and eight sub-modules 1672 which may be impact sensors, small touchpads, small pressure sensors, lights, etc., arranged in two 2-by-2 arrays. The overall configuration 1970 may be particularly useful as a percussion controller.

Completing this gallery of illustrations of electronic instrument module configurations, Figures 19i and 19j respectively show arrangements 1980, 1990 that are functionally large control panels. In more detail, arrangements 1980, 1990 each comprise four separate, 5-opening hierarchical frames where each of the openings are populated with electronic control module 1650, shown in the first row as 1650a – 1650e. Configuration

1980 of Figure 19i shows the use of ornamental frames or endcaps 1909a, 1909b, and an optional, flexible shoulder strap 1946.

It is to be understood that many possible configurations, variations, approaches to standards, and standardized methods for transcending the standards (as with the hierarchical frames of reduced width, longer width, and double-width double-length) are possible.

4.1 Realizing Functional Aspects of the Highly Specialized Instruments of Harry Partch

Next the rich flexibility, extensible value, and artistic implications provided for by the invention are further illustrated by recasting notable aspects of the majestic instruments and musicology of American Composer Harry Partch (1901-1974).

Partch created a new world of 43 note-per-octave scales of integer-ratio relative pitches, and a large varied ensemble of instruments to render them in a wide range of timbres and dynamics. These instruments brought astonishing compositional aspects and possibilities to light, as showcased in his masterwork "Delusion of The Fury." However, only a select few musicians can access these instruments since they were never commercially manufactured. Further, it is arguably that these instruments may never become commercially viable to commercially manufacture in the absence of some interest provoking occurrence. As a result, much of the Partch musical world and endeavor is likely to remain indefinitely isolated from new musicians.

Many of the more sophisticated available music synthesizers provide support for at least some types of microtonal scales. In principle, these could be adapted to the Partch scales, and in fact some of the original Partch instruments were adapted retuned reed organs (with a highly physically-adapted traditional Western keyboard featuring staggeredly-layered

keys. However, with so many notes-per-octave, and an odd-number (43) of divisions at that, correspondences of the complete Partch scale with traditional (even-number of divisions) 12-key-per-octave Western keyboard without extensive physical modification is extensively problematic. In many of his instruments (including his adapted Western keyboards), Partch addressed this matter through the use of two-dimensional tonal layouts with his instruments' playing areas (which were usually part of the vibrating elements themselves), as in the Diamond Marimba, Quadrangularis Reversum, and other Partch instruments to be discussed. In many of these instruments, the two dimensional arrangement reflects the components of the numerical pitch scaling fraction relating the sounding pitch of a given element to the fundamental pitch of the scale; i.e., numerators of the fraction sequence increase in one layout dimension and denominators sequentially increase in the other layout dimension. A very few MIDI-based controllers, such as the ZBOARD, GBOARD, AND MAGNATAR 1223 by STARR SWITCH (Starr Switch Company, San Diego, CA), offer a two dimensional array of buttons, and some multiple element percussion controllers such as the Roland "Octapad" (Roland Corporation U.S., Los Angeles, CA) and Simmons "Turtle Trap" (Simmons, West Hills, CA) offer small two-dimensional arrays of percussive pads, but no straight-forward way to aggregate these. In contrast, the Partch stringed instrument configurations are essentially unsupportable with available products without extensive customized construction.

Embodiments that have been described provide, among other things, flexible elements that may be readily assembled into functional replicas of key aspects of Partch instruments. Figure 20a shows one implementation of a plurality of unfretted stringed

instrument models **2002a-2002f** mounted or otherwise secured in a common mounting frame to create an adaptation **2000** of the Partch “Harmonic Cannon” (H.Partch, *Genesis of a Music*, Da Capo Press, New York, 2nd ed, 1974, pp.235-249).

Figure 20b shows the same collection of stringed instrument modules **2002a-2002f** arranged in a “stacked” sequence to create an adaptation **2050** of the 72 string “Kithara” (*ibid*, pp.200-231). In this configuration, the mounting straps **2001**, **2201b** of Figure 20a are not used; rather the stringed instrument modules **2002a-2002f** are, for example, secured in a specialize frame involving a base **2020** and upper portion **2010**, both readily made from wood, Plexiglas, or other suitable material. Alternatively, an adaptation could be made of an appropriate multi-guitar stand, such as the Fender Case StandTM (Fender Musical Instruments Corporation, Scottsdale, AZ) or the 7-space Warwick Rockstand (Musicican’s Friend, Medford, OR).

Figure 21a shows the use of six tiers of hierarchical frames **2161-2166** of at least two spacing styles arranged in a staircase frame and populated with impact sensors **2111**, **2118**, **2121**, **2133** and others to form a functional adaptation **2100** of the Partch “Boo” (H. Partch, *Genesis of a Music*, Da Capo Press, New York, 1974, pp.282-292). Figure 21b shows an idealized top view of the arrangement **2100**. The impact sensor pads are arranged in the expanding pattern and are geometrically positioned to correspond with the tops of the mallet-struck bamboo tube surfaces in keeping with the original Partch instrument. The hierarchical frame may be a standard manufactured item, or readily fashioned using a suitable material such as wood, Plexiglas, etc. The impact pads are shown formed as precise rectangles, but other shapes are possible, such as the slightly irregular polygon pads **2118** and

2121. This type of stylizing may be realized in the mounting and supporting hierarchical frames 2161-2166 themselves or by means of an overlay bezel.

5. Application to Floor controllers

A variety of hand-operated instruments have been described, and the principles and techniques that have been disclosed apply equally to other types of instruments. A particular example may be the application of these principles and techniques to floor controller devices. Particular examples of suitable floor controller devices are presented in U.S. Patent Application 2002/0005111. Employing the notions of formalized modules, mounting frames, and hierarchical frames to floor controllers, a wide range of floor controller types may be implemented using a given aggregation frame. Only a few illustrative approaches are described, but those of ordinary skill will appreciate that a vast assortment of variations are possible within the teachings of the invention.

Figures 22a-22d depict a few exemplary modules that are possible in implementing a floor controller. Figure 22a shows a footswitch controller module 2100 comprising four footswitches 2101a – 2101d. Visual status and context indicators may be incorporated in a number of ways; here, for the sake of illustration, active-status LEDs 2103 are provided for each footswitch, and dedicated alphanumeric displays 2102 are provided for each footswitch. It is to be understood that either of these visual indications may be omitted, and that one or both may be incorporated in other manners (for example, LEDs may be implemented into the footswitches 2101a – 2101d themselves, alphanumeric information for each footswitch may be consolidated into a single, larger multiple-line alphanumeric display shared by a group of footswitches, etc.). For the sake of illustration, a smaller two-footswitch version 2110 of 2100 is also provided for consideration; this will have utility when the total footswitch counts

are preferably between two integer-multiples of four, in filling available open areas in a hierarchical frame, etc.

Figure 22c shows a touchpad or pressure sensor array pad configured for operation by a user's foot. In principle the same touchpad or pressure sensor array pad hardware described earlier for hand operation may also be used for foot operation. However a mode change (from "hand" to "foot") in pattern recognition and parameter extraction may be advantageous, but not necessarily required for useful operation. As with the hand-operated configurations described earlier, the pad may be fitted with an impact sensor for supporting percussion applicants. In this illustration it is assumed that visual status and context indications are incorporated into the pad itself, using a transparent pad and underlying visual display. However, other arrangements or omissions of these are of course possible. The transparent pad and associated underlying visual display may be implemented using conventional techniques, such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent Application 2002/0005111.

Figure 22d illustrates a rocking foot pedal module **2130** comprising a rocking foot pedal **2121**, again, with exemplary visual indication provided by optional alphanumeric display **2122** (or other suitable display device). The rocking foot pedal module **2130** width may be kept narrow, or widened enough to allow other degrees of motion, such as pivoting rotation. Such additional degrees of motion and/or the addition of other structures can be used to obtain greater parameters of control with a common pedal (examples of such techniques may be found in U.S. Patent Application 2002/0005111). Thus, a common module size and format of rocking foot pedal module **2130** may serve as a simple rocking foot pedal **2121** and a variety of multiple parameter foot pedals for both varying styles and complexities. Note the modules shown in these figures are purely exemplary – other

possibilities may include foot-operated strumpads, individual foot-operated impact sensors, Western pipe-organ style bass pedal board pedals, etc.

Further to the example of Figure 22d, the common module size and format of **2130** may be scaled together with the other exemplary modules **2100**, **2110**, and **2120**:

- Two-footswitch module **2110**, pad module **2120**, and foot pedal module **2130** are all the same length and half the length of four-footswitch module **2100**.
- Two-footswitch module **2110**, pad module **2120**, and four-footswitch module **2100** are all the same width and half the width of foot pedal module **2130**.

Employing this dimensioning scheme, Figures 23a-23c illustrate an evolving heterogeneous aggregation of the floor controller modules of Figures 22a-22d. For example, the configuration of Figure 23a shows a pair of foot pedal modules **2130a**, **2130b** at either end of a mounting frame. Using hierarchical frames or other techniques, the configuration of Figure 23a may also include a four-footswitch module **2100**, a two-footswitch module **2110**, and a pad module **2130**. The musician initially employs a simple pad module comprising a contact-null pad with a common underlying pressure sensor as a two-dimensional controller (via toe-pointing) and as a toe-pressure sensor, employing these two modalities selectively or simultaneously. Later the musician may expand the detail and nuance of a musical composition that uses the pad module **2130** by upgrading to a pressure sensor array pad module **2130a** to control six parameters simultaneously using known techniques, such as those described in U.S. Patent Application 2002/0005111.

Composing now done, the musician may find that during recording it would be advantageous to restructure the configuration of the pad by moving it closer to the foot's normal standing position and moving the modules around to result in the configuration of

Figure 23b. Continuing with this scenario, a CD containing the recording may be later released to great acclaim for its sensitive solo rendered with the pressure sensor array pad module **2130a** and so the musician may go on tour. Once on tour the musician finds the deafening crowd noise drowns out all those careful subtleties made available by the pressure sensor array pad module **2130a**, and furthermore that in the excitement and nervousness of playing in venues before large noisy audiences of screaming high-energy fans with flowers (and other objects) being thrown on stage, there is at times trouble concentrating enough to use the pressure sensor array pad module **2130a** as well as it was done in the now famous recording. The musician reviews the solo and artistically decides to instead simply use one of the foot pedals **2120a** or **2120b** to create an easy-to-operate one-parameter variation over time with a simple foot motion and derive a plurality of control signals from that one-parameter foot pedal control signal (using, for example, the control signal processing techniques presented in U.S. Patent 6,570,078) to produce a net effect that sounds “close enough” to the now famous recording on the musician’s CD. Not needing the pressure sensor array pad module **2130a** any more on this tour, the musician simply replaces it with another two-footswitch module **2110a**, for example, which finds immediate applicability in controlling a recently added on-instrument miniature fog-generation machine while performing. Later the musician finds a preference to use right same foot for both foot pedals so the unit is finally reconfigured with foot pedal **2120a** now moved to the right next to foot pedal **2120b**. The fortune and perils of a musician’s career have been improved in all phases by the principles of the invention. Two other exemplary configurations are now considered. Figure 24a shows an aggregation of eight of the same type of modules, and in particular, foot pedal modules **2120a—2120h**. This results in an eight rocker-pedal floor controller which

may be used for controlling a synthesizer, signal processing parameters, 3D-sound localization, lighting, etc., by another musician. This configuration is originally assembled as a flat layer, but later the musician may need to support a wider range of usage contexts for the group of pedals requiring footswitches. A staircase frame may be used to position two
5 four-footswitch modules **2100a, 2100b** on a raised upper deck to control the contexts and settings of the group of foot pedal modules **2120a—2120h**, as shown in Figure 24b.

6. Standardizations, Multi-Vendor Manufacturing, and the Evolution of Instruments and their Commercial Markets

As seen from the discussions above, the invention provides for a wide range of
10 opportunities for multiple-vendor standardizations, multiple-vendor manufacturing, multiple-vendor competitive features, etc., while offering the music equipment user and the music industry as a whole, access to a spectacular range of instrument customization, diversification, and education. Only a few exemplary approaches are illustratively provided here, but the invention provides for additional implementations deriving from, or alternative
15 to, these as one skilled in the art, business, and marketing appreciates. The principles of the invention create a rich environment for instrument, user, feature, music, and market. In this sense the principles of the invention when properly applied and marketed could provide market-opening potential comparable to the introduction of the MIDI protocol.

While the invention has been described in detail with reference to disclosed
20 embodiments, various modifications within the scope of the invention will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in this technological field. It is to be appreciated that features described with respect to one embodiment typically may be applied to other embodiments. Therefore, the invention properly is to be construed with reference to the claims.